Energy Efficiency's Role in Getting America Out of Its Energy Straightjacket

R. Neal Elliott, Ph.D., P.E. Industrial Program Director ACEEE

& John A. "Skip" Laitner Senior Economist for Technology Policy EPA Office of Atmospheric Programs





An Opening Thought on the Tough Choices

"Individuals have a natural tendency to choose from an *impoverished option bag*. Cognitive research in problem solving shows that individuals usually generate only about 30 percent of the total number of potential options on simple problems, and that, on average, individuals miss about 70 percent to 80 percent of the potential high-quality alternatives (emphasis in the original)."

> Dr. Jeffrey S. Luke Catalytic Leadership: Strategies for an Interconnected World, 1998

Without New Efficiency Technology,** Energy Use Would Be Almost 3 Times 1970 Levels



Contrast 3 Energy Patterns

 ✓ Using 1970 Technology
 ✓ Standard 1970s Forecast
 ✓ Actual energy use since 1970
 Since 1970, energy efficiency has met 75% of new energy service demands in the U.S, while new energy supplies have perhaps contributed only 25% of new energy service demands.

** Where "energy efficiency" is broadly defined as the difference between the 1970 and 2004 energy intensities.

Without New Efficiency Technology,** Natural Gas Use Would Be ~1.6 Times 1990 Levels



Contrast 2 NatGas Patterns ✓ Using 1990 Technology ✓ Actual Natural Gas use since 1990 Since 1990, and especially since 1996, energy efficiency has met 72% of new natural gas service demands in the U.S, while new energy supplies have perhaps contributed only 28% of new energy service demands.

** Where "energy efficiency" is broadly defined as the difference between the 1990 and 2005 energy intensities.

Other Useful Perspectives on Those Historical Efficiency Gains

- Sy 2004, improved energy efficiency (compared to 1970 technologies and market structure) was already providing 75 percent of all U.S. energy services, which is:
 - 1.3 times our total energy production
 - 8.9 times our total domestic oil production
 - 3.7 times our total petroleum imports
- So this question, why do we always think there is more energy, but we almost always assume that the efficiency resources are already used up?

ACEEE's Energy Markets Research

- Began looking at markets in 2000
- Initially focused on NatGas markets
- Focused on understanding market forces
- Looked at interaction between consumption and prices
- Recently began looking a cross-fuel market effects – appear increasing dominant



The Energy Straightjacket

- No current "supply" limitations rather "deliverability" limitations
- Demand surging in all energy markets
- Oil markets constrained by refining
- Coal markets constrained by mining and rail capacity
- Electricity constrained by available fuel
- Limited potential for fuel switching



Natural Gas Markets

Lower 48 Gas Production vs. Deliverability (Bcf per day)



Source: EEA 2005

Projected Annual Average Henry Hub Gas



Sources: Historical data from Platts Gas Daily, Projection by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.



Coal Markets Tightening

- Coal demand up on high gas prices
- Industrial consolidation reduced spare capacity – need major new investments
- Rail capacity limited shortage of rail cars
- Later winter 2005 storms damaged western rail lines



Coal Markets Tightening



Utilization of Steel Castings Limits Development of Rail Capacity



Oil Markets Tight

- Crude Production Near Capacity
- Refined Products Very Tight
- Limited Refining means
 Competition between Refined
 Products Gasoline and Distillate
- Markets Vulnerable to Disruptions – Storms, instability, terrorism
- Global Price Driven by Increasing Demand in U.S., China and India





Thunder Horse



Refining Capacity vs. Production



The Weather Wild Card

- Extreme weather affects production of oil, gas and coal
 - Late winter snows disrupted western coal
 - Hurricanes disrupted both production and processing
- Extreme weather increases demand
 - 3 cool summer and 4 warm winters
 - Summer 2005 ~4% above "normal", but >75% warmer than 2004
 - We have had a warm fall, cold December, and warm January



Impacts on Oil & Gas Production



Natural Gas Storage



Source: EIA 2006



Role for Energy Efficiency

- If modest increases in demand produced large price increases, then small decreases should produce large price reductions
- Efficiency energy can produce savings in both the near-term and longer-term



Characterizing the Energy Efficiency Resource

- Variety of studies done in late 1980s/early 1990s on technical, economic and achievable energy savings potential
- Few such studies in late 1990s
- Beginning in 2000, a resurgence of such studies
 - -First time in some regions
 - Revise/reassess earlier studies in other regions



Summary of Recent EE Studies



Savings Achieved from Most Effective Electric Programs

	Year	Annual Incremental GWh Savings	kWh Sales	Savings/Year (%)
California	2001	4,760	239,654	2.0%
	2002	1,463	235,249	0.6%
Connecticut	2001	314	30,000	1.0%
	2002	246	31,000	0.8%
Massachusetts	2000	273	51,773	0.5%
	2001	309	52,092	0.6%
Rhode Island	2001	61	7,341	0.8%
	2002	51	7,516	0.7%
Vermont (Efficiency VT only)	2001	37	5,051	0.7%
	2002	41	5,077	0.8%
	2003	54	5,127	1.1% 🔎

Years

Significant Opportunities For Energy Efficiency

- Studies show significant economic potential for efficiency
- Actual experience shows saving of 10% readily achievable
- Best companies achieving average of 1% per year efficiency improvements (above autonomous trend)



ACEEE Research Approach

Sector estimates by State of the nearterm implementable potential for energy efficiency and conservation programs for:

- 1. End-User Natural Gas
- 2. End-User Electricity

Calculated "reasonably achievable" savings based on sector end-uses (i.e. space heating, motors, lighting...)

Impact of EE & RE on Henry Hub Natural Gas Pricing



Results of Gas Analysis

• Electric savings more important than direct use because of multiplier effect

(NatGas generation on margin >11,000 Btu/kWh)

- Efficiency should be treated as a resource on par with conventional supply
- Efficiency alone can't address demand growth need additional supply resources
- Don't use an explicit elasticity Price effects decline as market rebalances



Gas Consumption Reductions from Energy Efficiency





Source: ACEEE 2005

How Has the NatGas Market Actually Responded?

- Extreme weather has had a dominant impact masking any other effects
- World crude running above forecasts
- Other markets have tightened
- Electric power increasingly driving NatGas market prices



Research Findings

- Focus on a single fuel may produce misleading results – markets increasingly linked
- With tightening markets, volatility driven by natural demand fluctuations (e.g., weather)
- Beware of using past trends to predict the future – markets are fundamentally different today

"Past performance not indicative of future results"

 Simplistic approach to price response may produce misleading results, particularly in nearterm



Conclusions

- Energy efficiency may be our only available marginal energy resource
- We can't sustain current rate of energy demand growth
- We need to decouple energy services from energy consumption



Contact Information

R. Neal Elliott, Ph.D., P.E.

Industrial Program Director

ACEEE

1001 Conn. Ave, NW, Suite 801 Washington, DC 20036 202-429-8873

rnelliott@aceee.org

For more information and updates on Natural Gas and Energy Efficiency visit:

http://aceee.org/energy/natlgas.htm

