## Optimizing the service of the Orange Line



## Overview

- Increased crime rate in and around campus
- Shuttle-UM Orange Line
- 12:00am - 3:00am late night shift
- A student standing or walking on and around campus during these hours has a greater chance of being susceptible to crime


## Objective

- Increase Frequency of Service = Decrease avg. waiting time
- Remain Cost Effective
- Possible Improvements:
- Larger shuttles
- Increase fleet size
- Reduce \# of stops


## Course Concepts

- For this project we used linear programming formulation to determine a best solution given our approaches.
- Each approach has an objective function, decision variables, constraints, and parameters. Non-negativity of variables was assumed for each approach because a negative number of vehicles or stops would not be applicable in this project.
- The 'gin' command was used to determine general integer variables because fractions cannot be applied to number of vehicles or stops.


## Current Orange Line Schedule

- 1 Shuttile running on Sunday-Wednesday late night shift
- 3 Shuttles running on Thursday-Saturday shift
- Shaded vs. Un-shaded

| After Midnight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | \# 4 | \#7 | \#10 | \#14 | 173 | \$27 | 0 |
| 241200 | 1204 | 1207 | $12 \cdot 11$ | 12 | 123 | 12\% | 1280 |
| ${ }^{12710}$ | $12 \cdot 14$ | 1217 | 1221 | 123 | 123 | 123 | 1220 |
| 12:20 | 123 | 1277 | c2, | 123 | 1242 | 1245 | 1250 |
| 12930 | $13: 3$ | 127 | L241 | 124 | 125 | 1256 | 1.60 |
| 1200 | 1234 | 1271 | 1251 | 12 * | $1: 102$ | 1106 | 1:10 |
| 1230 | 1885 | 1285 | 1.91 | 1* | 1:12 | $1: 16$ | 120 |
| 1.00 | 1909 | 1177 | 1:11 | t.14 | 12 | 48 | 1180 |
| 1.70 | 1:4 | $1: 17$ | 13 | 13 | 13 | 136 | 1.40 |
| 131 | 124 | 127 | 131 | 18 | 142 | 14.46 | 1.50 |
| 13 | 12 | 13 | (14) | 14 | 1.5 | 155 | 200 |
| 1000 | 13/4 | 1197 | 15 | 19 | 20 | $2{ }^{20}$ | 210 |
| 1930 | 1534 | $11 / 7$ | 201 | 25 | 212 | 235 | 230 |
| 200 | 204 | 207 | 2.11 | 21 | 228 | 228 | 230 |
| :10 | 2:4 | 2.17 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 238 | 210 |
| 230 | $2 \times 1$ | 371 | 23 | 20 | 242 | 206 | 230 |
| 20 | 23 | 27 | 234 | 24 | 20 | 266 | 300 |
| 200 | 234 | 247 | 251 | $2{ }^{2}$ | ate | 306 | 3.10 |
| 230 | $8: 34$ | 257 | 3 | 3 30 | 312 | 3.16 | $3{ }^{3}$ |
| 300 | Sal | 307 | $3: 11$ | 318 | 372 | $3 \%$ | 1330 |
| Shaded times operate Thursday through Saturday only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Orange Line Information

- Round Trip Distance: 4.66 mi
- Round Trip Time (R): 30min
- Seat Capacity (s): 36
- Total Capacity: 69 passengers
- Mean dwell time: 45 sec ( $5 \mathrm{sec}-5 \mathrm{~min}$ )
- Sun-Wed: 21 secs
- Thurs-Sat: 78 secs
- Frequency Sun-Wed: 2 shuttles/hr
- Frequency Thurs-Sat: 6 shuttles/hr
- Operating Cost per bus (C): \$50/hr
- Driver: $\$ 12.85$
- Maintenance (tires, oil, filter, etc.): \$3.20
- Fuel: $\$ 6.50$
- Depreciation: $\$ 13.45$
- Overhead (plant, administrative salaries, storage): \$14.00


## Alternative \#1

- ( + ) Larger seating and load capacity needed @ peak hours
- (-) Frequency remains unchanged

| Operating Costs | Current | Using Larger <br> Shuttle (Th-Sat) | Additional Operating <br> Cost /Semester |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Driver | $\$ 12.85$ | $\$ 12.85$ |  |
| Maintenance | $\$ 3.20$ | $\$ 4.26$ |  |
| Fuel | $\$ 6.50$ | $\$ 8.65$ |  |
| Depreciation | $\$ 13.45$ | $\$ 17.89$ |  |
| Overhead | $\$ 14.00$ | $\$ 16.50$ |  |
| Cost/Semester | $\$ 17,850.00$ | $\$ 19,402.95$ | $\$ 1,552.95$ |



## Alternative \#1 (LINDO-input)

- x1 = \# of shuttles running on Sun-Wed shift
- $\times 2=$ \# of shuttles running on Thurs-Sat
- x3 $=$ \# of larger shuttles running on Sun-Wed
- x4 = \# of larger shuttles running on Thurs-Sat

IShuttle-UM Problem, LP formulation in LINDO
$\max .50 \times 1+.25 \times 2+.10 \times 3+.15 \times 4$
s.t.
c1: $69 \times 1+92 \times 3>=48 \quad$ ! (Peak demand capacity Sun-Wed)
c2: $69 \times 2+92 \times 4>=81$
c3: $10200 \times 1+7650 \times 2+11087 \times 3+8315 \times 4<=52000$ ! (Cost constraint)
c4: $\mathrm{x} 1>=1$
c5: $x 2>=3$
c6: $\times 3, \times 4>=0$
End
gin x 1
gin $x 2$
gin $x 3$
gin $\times 4$
! Maximize Frequency
! (Peak demand capacity Thurs-Sat)
! (Sun-Wed constraint)
! (Thurs-Sat constraint)
! (Non-negativity for large buses)

## Alternative \#1 (LINDO-output)

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 5
OBJECTIVE VALUE = 2.17401958
FIX ALL VARS. ( 2) WITH RC $>0.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$
NEW INTEGER SOLUTION OF 2.00000000 AT BRANCH O PIVOT 11
BOUND ON OPTIMUM: 2.000000
ENUMERATION COMPLETE. BRANCHES= 0 PIVOTS= 11
LAST INTEGER SOLUTION IS THE BEST FOUND
RE-INSTALLING BEST SOLUTION...
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 2.000000

VARIABLE VALUE REDUCED COST
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{X} 1 & 2.000000 & -0.500000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{X} 2 & 4.000000 & -0.250000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{X} 3 & 0.000000 & -0.100000\end{array}$
X4 $0.000000-0.150000$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { X3,X4 } & 0.000000 & 0.000000\end{array}$
ROW SLACK OR SURPLUS DUAL PRICES
2) $90.000000 \quad 0.000000$
3) $195.000000 \quad 0.000000$
4) $1000.000000 \quad 0.000000$
5) $1.000000 \quad 0.000000$
6) $1.000000 \quad 0.000000$
7) $0.000000 \quad 0.000000$

NO. ITERATIONS= 12
BRANCHES $=0$ DETERM. $=1.000 E 0$

## Alternative \#2

- We removed stops with little to no frequency of use:

$$
\text { - 1, 8, 10, 19, 25, 29, } 30
$$

- Reduced round trip time (R): 25min
- Frequency, Sun-Wed: 1 shuttle/ 25 min
- Frequency, Thurs-Sat: 1 shuttle/ 8.33 min


## Alternative \#2 (LINDO-input)

- $\times 5=$ \# of stops removed during the Sun-Wed shift
- x6 = \# of stops removed during the Thurs-Sat shift
$\max 3 \times 5+x 6 \quad$ ! Maximize Frequency
s.t.
c1: $0.3375 \times 5+1.3 \times 6<=10$ ! Dwell time constraints
c2: $x 5<=7$ ! Maximum removal of stops Sun-Wed
c3: $x 6<=7 \quad$ ! Maximum removal of stops Thurs-Sat
c4: $x 5, x 6>=0$
! Non-negativity constraint
end
gin $\times 5$
gin $\times 6$


## Alternative \#2 (LINDO-output)

- LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 2
- OBJECTIVE VALUE $=26.8750000$
- NEW INTEGER SOLUTION OF 26.0000000 AT BRANCH O PIVOT 4
- BOUND ON OPTIMUM: 26.00000
- ENUMERATION COMPLETE. BRANCHES= 0 PIVOTS= 4
- LAST INTEGER SOLUTION IS THE BEST FOUND
- RE-INSTALLING BEST SOLUTION...
- OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE
- 1) 26.00000
- VARIABLE VALUE
- X5 $7.000000 \quad-3.000000$
- X6 $5.000000 \quad-1.000000$
- X5,X6 $0.000000 \quad 0.000000$
- ROW SLACK OR SURPLUS DUAL PRICES
- 2) $1.137500 \quad 0.000000$
- 3) $0.000000 \quad 0.000000$
- 4) $2.000000 \quad 0.000000$
- 5) $0.000000 \quad 0.000000$
- NO. ITERATIONS= 4
- BRANCHES= 0 DETERM. $=1.000 E 0$


## Alternative \#2

$\circ(+)$ Slightly increase frequency

-     + ) No addititional costs
$\circ(-)$ Beneficial to passengers within the proximity of the available stops
- (-) Increased average walking distance for other passengers not within proximity


## Conclusion

- ( + ) Frequency (Sun-Wed) $=4$ shuttles/hr
- ( + ) Frequency (Thurs-Sat)= 8 shuttles/hr
- (-) Increased cost $=\$ 10,200+\$ 7,650=\$ 17,850$ / semester
- Within \$52,000 budget
- CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE \#1
- OPTIMMAL SOLUTION!


## Questions?
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